

No. ID/FD/205-82/46269.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Parhlad Singh and the management of M/s J. D. Jaswani Brothers Contractors c/o M/s Eicher Goodreth Tractor, 59, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Parhlad Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/GGN/92-83/46276.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Duli Chand and the management of M/s Tiger Locks Ltd., Plot No. 3, Industrial Estate, Palam, Gurgaon Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*videlicet* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Duli Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/57-83/46282.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagdish Chand and the management of M/s Eastern Corporation Sarafa Bazar, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services Shri Jagdish Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/120-82/46294.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Subhas and the management of M/s Aggarwal Yarns and Fabrics Pvt. Ltd., Kishan Pura, Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subhash was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 8th September, 1983

No. ID/FD/76-83/46506.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Daroga Paisad and the management of M/s Frick India Ltd., 13/3 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the refer dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Daroga Parasad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

सं. श्री. वि./एफ. डी./123-83/46513.—चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल की राए है कि इसमें मैसर्ज ऊपर एण्ड बोर्ड इन्डस्ट्रीज, 1/7 डी.एफ.एल, मथुरा रोड, फरीदाबाद, के श्रमिक श्री कंवर सिंह तथा उसके प्रबन्धकों के मध्य इसमें इसके बाद लिखित मामले में कोई अधियोगिक विवाद है ;

और चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल विवाद को न्यायतिर्गय हेतु निर्दिष्ट करना बांधनीय समझते हैं;

इसलिए, अब, अधियोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ग) द्वारा प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल इसके द्वारा भरकारी अधिसूचना सं. 5415-3-अम-68/15254, दिनांक 20 जनवरी, 1968 के साथ पढ़ते हुए अधिसूचना संख्या 11495-जी-अम/57/11245, दिनांक 7 फरवरी, 1958 द्वारा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अंतर्गत गठित श्री अमर राम कीरत या उनके सुनीत या उसके सम्बंधित नीचे लिखा मामला न्यायतिर्गय के लिए निर्दिष्ट करते हैं, जोकि उत्त प्राप्त हो तथा श्रमिक के बीच या तो विवादग्रस्त मामला है या विवाद से सुसंगत अथवा सम्बंधित मामला है।

क्या श्री कंवर सिंह की सेवाओं का समापन न्यायौचित तथा ठीक है ? यदि नहीं, तो वह किस राहत का हकदार है ?

वी० एस० चौधरी,

उप सचिव, हरियाणा सरकार,
अम विभाग।

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 6th September, 1983

No. ID/GGN/139-82/45690.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Dundahera Industrial Complex, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the workers are entitled to grant of bonus at the rate of 20% for the year 1980-81 ?
If so, with what details.

K. G. VERMA,
Commissioner & Secretary, Government of Haryana,
Labour & Employment Departments.